IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

BAD RIVER BAND OF THE LAKE SUPERIOR TRIBE OF CHIPPEWA INDIANS OF THE BAD RIVER RESERVATION		
Plaintiff,		
v. ENBRIDGE ENERGY COMPANY, INC., and ENBRIDGE ENERGY, L.P.	Case No. 3:19-cv-0060	2
Defendants.		
ENBRIDGE ENERGY, L.P. Counter-Plaintiff, v. BAD RIVER BAND OF THE LAKE SUPERIOR TRIBE OF CHIPPEWA INDIANS OF THE BAD RIVER RESERVATION and NAOMI TILLISON, in her official capacity	Judge William M. Conl Magistrate Judge Steph	•
Counter-Defendants.		
ENBRIDGE'S PROPOSED SPE		<u>I</u>
QUESTION NO. 1. Is a public nuisance immine	nt at the Meander on the	Bad River Indian
Reservation due to the below defendant's negligence	e?	
Enbridge Energy Com	pany, Inc.:	(Yes or No)
Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnershi	p ("EELP"):	(Yes or No)

QUESTION NO. 2. Is a public nuisance imminent at Slope 18 on the Bad River Indian Reservation due to the below defendant's negligence?

	Enbridge Energy Company, Inc.:	(Yes or No)			
	EELP:	(Yes or No)			
Answer Questions 3-6 if you answered "yes" to Question 1 or 2 for at least one defendant					
QUESTION NO. 3.	Will the nuisance (identified in Question 1 or	2) immediately occur?			
	Answer:	(Yes or No)			
QUESTION NO. 4.	Is the imminent nuisance certain to cause sign	nificant harm?			
	Answer:	(Yes or No)			
QUESTION NO. 5.	Will the imminent nuisance result in significa	nt harm to the Band that is			
different from the har	m to be suffered by other members of the public e	exercising the common right			
that was the subject of interference?					
	Answer:	(Yes or No)			
QUESTION NO. 6.	Did the Band unreasonably prevent Enbridge fr	rom taking reasonable steps			
to abate any imminent nuisance you found exists?					
	Answer:	(Yes or No)			

Trespass Damages

QUESTION NO.7.	Commencing on what date should the Bad River Band be	e entitled to receive
damages for EELP's tre	espass on the twelve allotted parcels?	
	Answer:	(Date)
QUESTION NO. 8.	What percentage of EELP's profits attributable to the en	ntirety of Line 5 will
you award the Band pe	r calendar year (beginning on the date identified in Que	estion 7)?
	2013: _	(%)
	2014: _	(%)
	2015: _	(%)
	2016: _	(%)
	2017: _	(%)
	2018: <u> </u>	(%)
	2019: _	(%)
	2020: _	(%)
	2021: _	(%)
	2022: _	(%)
	Future (to the extent the trespass continues):	(%)
QUESTION NO. 9.	Should the Band's share of profits awarded ab	pove be allocated
proportionally based or	n the Band's ownership interest (as it may have changed	d over time) in each
of the twelve parcels or	n which EELP is trespassing?	
	Answer:	(Yes or No)

QUESTION NO. 10. What is total amount of money y	ou will award to the Band for El	ELP's past
trespass on the twelve at issue parcels through the date	of this verdict form?	
	2013:	(\$)
	2014:	(\$)
	2015:	(\$)
	2016:	(\$)
	2017:	(\$)
	2018:	(\$)
	2019:	(\$)
	2020:	(\$)
	2021:	(\$)
	2022:	(\$)
QUESTION NO. 11. What is total amount of money	you will award to the Band for	or EELP's
future trespass on the twelve at issue parcels for each	twelve-month period of trespas	s after the
date of this verdict form?		
		(\$)
Deter		
Date:		

Dated: September 21, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Justin B. Nemeroff

Michael C. Davis
David L. Feinberg
Xochitl S. Strohbehn
Justin B. Nemeroff
VENABLE LLP
600 Mass. Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20004
(202) 344-8278
MCDavis@venable.com
DLFeinberg@venable.com
XSStrohbehn@venable.com
JBNemeroff@venable.com

Eric M. McLeod Joseph S. Diedrich HUSCH BLACKWELL 33 East Main Street, Suite 300 Madison, WI 53701-1379 (608) 234-6056 eric.mcleod@huschblackwell.com

David H. Coburn Shannen W. Coffin STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP 1330 Connecticut Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20036-1795 (202) 429-8063 dcoburn@steptoe.com scoffin@steptoe.com

Counsel for Defendants

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on September 21, 2022, I served the foregoing document on all counsel of record using the Court's ECF system.

/s/ Justin B. Nemeroff
Justin B. Nemeroff